



ssdnm
środowiskowe
studia doktoranckie
z nauk matematycznych

Adrian Falkowski

Uniwersytet M. Kopernika w Toruniu

Financial markets modelling by integrals driven by a
fractional Brownian motion

Praca semestralna nr 1
(semestr letni 2011/12)

Opiekun pracy: Leszek Słomiński

Financial markets modelling by integrals driven by fractional Brownian motion

Adrian Falkowski

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science

Nicolaus Copernicus University

ul. Chopina 12/18 87-100 Toruń, Poland

E-mail: izinizik@mat.umk.pl

Abstract

We prove Girsanov type theorem for Wiener integrals with respect to the fractional Brownian motion. We apply this result to the option pricing problem in fractional Black-Scholes model with time depend volatility.

1 Introduction

A fractional Brownian motion (fBm) $(B_t^H)_{t \in [0, T]}$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ is a continuous zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance

$$EB_t^H B_s^H = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H})$$

defined on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . The long-range dependency property makes fBm suitable model in mathematical finance. In [9] Sottinen and Valkeila considered so called *fractional Black-Scholes model* where the stock price is given by

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB_t^H,$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$. In this paper we consider more general model. In above equation we replace the constants μ, σ with deterministic function, so the dynamics for the stock price S becomes

$$dS_t = \mu(t)S_t dt + \sigma(t)S_t dB_t^H.$$

We assume that there is also the bond asset $B_t = \exp(rt)$, where $r > 0$. Since B^H is not a semimartingale and martingale measures do not exist, we cannot apply usual method for option pricing. We use introduced in [9] *average risk neutral measure* i.e. measure Q , equivalent to the measure P , such that $E_Q(S_t/B_t) = S_0$. The existence and uniqueness of Q follows from Girsanov Theorem given in section 4. We define the *fair price* $C(F_T)$ of option F_T by

$$C(F_T) = E_Q \frac{F_T}{B_T}.$$

Note that if $H = 1/2$, B^H becomes ordinary Brownian motion and above model coincides with the well known Black-Scholes model. Also Q becomes the martingale measure and $C(F_T)$ coincides with the classical one as well. For options depending only on the price of S at the expiration time T , i.e. $F_T = F(S_T)$, we obtain following explicit formula

$$C(F_T) = e^{-rT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F \left(S_0 \exp \left(\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H} y + rT - \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H \right) \right) e^{y^2/2} dy,$$

where

$$\langle f, g \rangle_T^H = H(2H - 1) \int_0^T \int_0^T f(t)g(s)|t - s|^{2H-2} ds dt. \quad (1.1)$$

In particular for European call-option $F(S_T) = (S_T - K)^+$ we obtain analogue of Black-Scholes formula:

$$C((S_T - K)^+) = S_0 \Phi(y_1) - K e^{-rT} \Phi(y_2), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$y_1 = \frac{\log \frac{S_0}{K} + rT + \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}{\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}}, \quad y_2 = \frac{\log \frac{S_0}{K} + rT - \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}{\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}}.$$

Obtained results coincide with Sottinen and Valkeila's results in [9] for $\sigma(t) \equiv \sigma$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Abel integral equation

We need to start from some remarks on integral equations. Let us consider the *Abel integral equation*, i.e.

$$F(x) = \int_a^x \frac{G(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \quad (2.1)$$

where F is a known function and G is an unknown function.

Firstly we show that there is at most one solution of (2.1) in $\mathbb{L}_{[a,b]}^1$. Let $G_1, G_2 \in \mathbb{L}_{[a,b]}^1$ be a solutions of (2.1), then $G = G_1 - G_2$ is the solution of

$$\int_a^x \frac{G(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt = 0.$$

Fix $y \in (a, b)$ and consider function $\phi : T_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\phi(x, t) = \frac{G(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}(y-x)^\alpha},$$

where $T_y = \{(x, t) : a \leq t \leq x \leq y\}$. By Tonelli's Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_y} |\phi(x, t)| dx dt &= \int_a^y |G(t)| \int_t^y \frac{dx}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}(y-x)^\alpha} dt \\ &= \beta(\alpha, 1-\alpha) \int_a^y |G(t)| dt < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(\alpha, 1 - \alpha) = \int_0^1 x^{-\alpha}(1 - x)^{\alpha-1}dx$ is Euler beta function. Therefore, by Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^y G(t)dt &= \int_a^y G(t) \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)} \int_t^y \frac{dx}{(x - t)^{1-\alpha}(y - x)^\alpha} dt \\ &= \int_a^y \left(\frac{1}{\beta(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{G(t)}{(x - t)^{1-\alpha}} dt \right) \frac{dx}{(y - x)^\alpha} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Above equation holds for any $y \in (a, b)$. Thus $G \equiv 0$, which gives uniqueness of the solutions of (2.1) in $\mathbb{L}_{[a,b]}^1$.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that there exist a solution $G \in \mathbb{L}_{[a,b]}^1$ of (2.1), then it is given by*

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x \frac{F(t)}{(x - t)^\alpha} dt.$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $(z - x)^{-\alpha}$ and integrating with respect to x over an interval (a, z) gives

$$\int_a^z \frac{F(x)}{(z - x)^\alpha} dx = \int_a^z \int_a^x \frac{G(t)}{(x - t)^{1-\alpha}(z - x)^\alpha} dt dx.$$

By (2.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{\beta(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)} \int_a^z \frac{F(x)}{(z - x)^\alpha} dx = \int_a^z G(t) dt.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\beta(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_a^z \frac{F(x)}{(z - x)^\alpha} dx = G(z),$$

which is our claim. \square

Next Theorem gives sufficient condition for existence of solutions.

Theorem 2.2. *If $F(t) = (t - a)^{-\alpha} F^*(t)$, where F^* is absolute continuous function on $[a, b]$, then there exist $g \in \mathbb{L}_{[a,b]}^1$ such that (2.1) holds.*

Proof. See Samko et al. [8] Theorem 14.8. \square

2.2 Integration with respect to fBm

As we mention in introduction B^H is not a semimartingale, thus classical Itô's integration theory cannot be used. However if we restrict ourselves to deterministic integrands $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_{[0,T]}^{1/H}} = \left(\int_0^T |f(s)|^{1/H} ds \right)^H < +\infty,$$

there is simple way to define integral (usually called *Wiener integral*). Denote by \mathcal{E} the set of all step functions i.e.

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \mathbf{1}_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t),$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$, $f_i \in \mathbb{R}$. For $f \in \mathcal{E}$ we define stochastic integral with respect to the fBm by

$$\int_0^T f(s)dB_s^H := \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(B_{t_i}^H - B_{t_{i-1}}^H).$$

Is easy to see that

$$EB_t^H B_s^H = H(2H - 1) \int_0^t \int_0^s |u - v|^{2H-2} dudv,$$

which implies that for any $f, g \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$E \left(\int_0^T f(s)dB_s^H \int_0^T g(s)dB_s^H \right) = \langle f, g \rangle_T^H, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\langle f, g \rangle_T^H$ is given by (1.1).

Pipiras et al. [5] proved that $\langle f, g \rangle_T^H$ is an inner product in $\mathbb{L}_{[0,T]}^{1/H}$, moreover by Mémin, Mishura, Valkeila [2] for all $f \in \mathbb{L}_{[0,T]}^{1/H}$ we have

$$\sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_T^H} \leq C_H \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}_{[0,T]}^{1/H}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Now we can define stochastic integral as follows. Let $f \in \mathbb{L}_{[0,T]}^{1/H}$ and $\{f_n\}$ be the sequence of step functions, such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathbb{L}_{[0,T]}^{1/H}$, then $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{L}_{[0,T]}^{1/H}$. By (2.4) and (2.3), we have that $\{\int_0^T f_n(s)dB_s^H\}$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$. Since $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ is complete, there is $\int_0^T f(s)dB_s^H \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_0^T f(s)dB_s^H = \lim_n \int_0^T f_n(s)dB_s^H.$$

To construct stochastic integral with respect to the fBm of non-deterministic integrands we use Hölder continuity of paths of B^H . This pathwise approach was introduced in Ruzmaikina [7]. Let C^α be the space of α -Hölder continuous functions on $[0, T]$. Since $B^H \in C^\alpha$ for $\alpha < H$ with probability one, it follows that Stieltjes integral $\int_0^T X(s, \omega)dB_s^H(\omega)$ exists for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $X(\cdot, \omega) \in C^\gamma$ with $\gamma > 1 - \alpha$.

3 Integral representations of fBm

In this section we give some useful representations of fBm and related processes. These results was originated in Kleptsyna et al. [1] and Norros et al. [4].

Consider so-called *fundamental martingale* i.e.

$$M_t^H = \int_0^t k(t, s)dB_s^H, \quad (3.1)$$

where $k(t, s) = \kappa_H^{-1} s^{1/2-H} (t-s)^{1/2-H}$, $\kappa_H = 2H\beta(3/2 - H, H + 1/2)$. Its obvious that M^H is centered Gaussian process.

Let

$$w_t^H = \lambda_H^{-1} t^{2-2H},$$

where $\lambda_H = (2H\Gamma(3-2H)\Gamma(H+1/2))/(\Gamma(3/2-H))$.

Lemma 3.1. M^H has independent increments and variance function $E(M_t^H)^2 = w^H$. In particular, M^H is martingale.

Proof. See [4] Theorem 3.1. □

By Levy's Theorem there is the Brownian motion W , such that

$$M_t^H = \left(\frac{2-2H}{\lambda_H} \right)^{1/2} \int_0^t s^{1/2-H} dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 3.2 (Norros et al. [4]). *The fBm has the following integral representation in terms of W .*

$$B_t^H = \int_0^t z(t, s) dW_s,$$

where $z(t, s) = \mathbf{1}_{\{t>s\}} c_H s^{1/2-H} \int_s^t u^{H-1/2} (u-s)^{H-3/2} du$, $c_H = \left(\frac{H(2H-1)}{\beta(H-1/2, 2-2H)} \right)^{1/2}$.

Now we can show that if f is deterministic, bounded function on $[0, T]$, then the stochastic integral $\int_0^t f(s) dB_s^H$ can be represented in terms of M^H . Consider deterministic kernel

$$K_H^f(t, s) = H(2H-1) \int_s^t f(r) r^{H-1/2} (r-s)^{H-3/2} dr, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

Since f is bounded and $H > 1/2$, it follows that above integral is well defined.

Theorem 3.3 (Kleptsyna et al. [1]). *If f is deterministic, bounded function on $[0, T]$, then we have representation*

$$\int_0^t f(s) dB_s^H = \int_0^t K_H^f(t, s) dM_s^H.$$

Proof. In proof we will use following Lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *If f is bounded function and $\int_0^T |f_n(s) - f(s)|^p ds \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$ for any $p > 0$, then*

$$\int_0^t \left(K_H^{f_n}(t, s) - K_H^f(t, s) \right)^2 ds \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. Set $q > 1$ such that $(H - 3/2)q > -1$ and $p = q/(q - 1)$. By Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(K_H^{f_n}(t, s) - K_H^f(t, s) \right)^2 ds \\ & \leq k_H \int_0^t \left(\int_s^t |f^n(r) - f(r)| r^{H-1/2} (r-s)^{H-3/2} dr \right)^2 ds \\ & \leq k_H \int_0^t \left[\left(\int_s^t |f^n(r) - f(r)|^p dr \right)^{1/p} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left(\int_s^t (r^{H-1/2} (r-s)^{H-3/2})^q dr \right)^{1/q} \right]^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_H = (H(2H - 1))^2$. Note that function $\phi : [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\phi(s) = \int_s^t (r^{H-1/2} (r-s)^{H-3/2})^q dr$$

is continuous. Let $M = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \phi(s)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(K_H^{f_n}(t, s) - K_H^f(t, s) \right)^2 ds \\ & \leq k_H \int_0^t \left[\left(\int_0^t |f^n(r) - f(r)|^p dr \right)^{1/p} M^{1/q} \right]^2 ds \\ & \leq k_H M^{2/q} \left[\left(\int_0^t |f^n(r) - f(r)|^p dr \right)^{1/p} \right]^2 t \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes proof of Lemma. \square

Assume that f is continuously differentiable on $[0, T]$, then using integration by parts rule and Theorem 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t f(s) B_s^H &= B_t^H f(t) - \int_0^t B_s^H f'(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t z(t, s) f(t) dW_s - \int_0^t f'(s) \left(\int_0^s z(s, u) dW_u \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's Theorem for stochastic integral and integration by parts rule we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t f(s)dB_s^H &= \int_0^t \left(z(t, u)f(t) - \int_u^t f'(s)z(s, u)ds \right) dW_u \\
&= \int_0^t \left(\int_u^t f(s) \frac{d}{ds} z(s, u)ds \right) dW_u \\
&= c_H \int_0^t u^{1/2-H} \left(\int_u^t f(s) s^{H-1/2} (s-u)^{H-3/2} ds \right) dW_u \\
&= \frac{c_H}{H(2H-1)} \int_0^t u^{1/2-H} K_H^f(t, u) dW_u \\
&= \int_0^t K_H^f(t, s) dM_s^H.
\end{aligned}$$

Let now f be a bounded function. It is well-known that, there exists the sequence $\{f_n\}$ of continuously differentiable functions, such that

$$\int_0^T |f_n(s) - f(s)|^p ds \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

for any $p > 0$. Directly from definition of stochastic integral we have for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\int_0^t f_n(s)dB_s^H \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t f(s)dB_s^H$$

in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$. To complete the proof we need to show that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\int_0^t K_H^{f_n}(t, s) dM_s^H \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t K_H^f(t, s) dM_s^H$$

in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left(\int_0^t \left(K_H^{f_n}(t, s) - K_H^f(t, s) \right)^2 dM_s^H \right)^2 \\
&= \int_0^t \left(K_H^{f_n}(t, s) - K_H^f(t, s) \right)^2 dw_s^H \\
&= \lambda_H \int_0^t \left(K_H^{f_n}(t, s) - K_H^f(t, s) \right)^2 s^{2-2H} ds \\
&\leq \lambda_H \int_0^t \left(K_H^{f_n}(t, s) - K_H^f(t, s) \right)^2 t^{2-2H} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

the result follows from Lemma 3.4. □

4 Girsanov Theorem

Recall that fBm is defined on some fixed probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a continuous, centered, Gaussian martingale with independent increments and*

$$\Lambda_T = \exp \left(- \int_0^T \rho(s) dM_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \rho^2(s) d[M]_s \right).$$

where ρ is some deterministic function, such that $\int_0^T \rho^2(s) d[M]_s < +\infty$. If we define a measure Q by $\frac{dQ}{dP} = \Lambda_T$, then $M + \int_0^\cdot \rho(s) d[M]_s$ under Q has the same finite dimensional distribution as M under P .

Proof. Fix $t_i \in [0, T]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and put

$$\tau^\top = \left(\int_0^{t_1} \rho(s) d[M]_s, \dots, \int_0^{t_n} \rho(s) d[M]_s \right).$$

We need to show that

$$(M_{t_1}, \dots, M_{t_n})^\top \stackrel{Q}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(-\tau, V),$$

where $V = \text{Cov}_P(M_{t_i}, M_{t_j})_{i,j=1}^n$. Let $\alpha^\top = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and note that

$$E_Q \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{t_i} \right) = E_P \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{t_i} - \int_0^T \rho(s) dM_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \rho^2(s) d[M]_s \right).$$

Consider random variable $U = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{t_i} - \int_0^T \rho(s) dM_s$. With respect to the measure P it's Gaussian with mean zero and variance

$$\begin{aligned} E_P(U^2) &= \int_0^T \rho^2(s) d[M]_s + E_P \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{t_i} \right)^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \text{cov}_P \left(\int_0^T \rho(s) dM_s, \alpha_i M_{t_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\text{cov}_P \left(\int_0^T \rho(s) dM_s, \alpha_i M_{t_i} \right) = \alpha_i \int_0^{t_i} \rho(s) d[M]_s.$$

Hence

$$E_P(U^2) = \int_0^T \rho^2(s) d[M]_s + \alpha^\top V \alpha - 2\alpha^\top \tau$$

and

$$E_P \exp(U) = \exp \left(\frac{\int_0^T \rho^2(s) d[M]_s + \alpha^\top V \alpha - 2\alpha^\top \tau}{2} \right).$$

As a consequence,

$$E_Q \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i M_{t_i} \right) = \exp \left(\frac{\alpha^\top V \alpha}{2} - \alpha^\top \tau \right),$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.2. If moreover there exist function $\delta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$W_t = \int_0^t \delta(s) dM_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (4.1)$$

is Brownian motion, then the above measure Q is unique (given that Q is equivalent to P).

Proof. If $M + \int_0^\cdot \rho(s) d[M]_s$ under Q has the same distribution as M under P , the by (4.1) we have that process

$$W_t^\delta = W_t + \int_0^t \delta(s) \rho(s) d[M]_s, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

is Brownian motion under Q . Thus assertion follows from uniqueness in usual Girsanov Theorem. \square

Lemma 4.3. Let f, c be absolutely continuous functions on $[0, T]$ and $f(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Define

$$\rho_H(t) = r_H^{-1} t^{2H-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t s^{1/2-H} (t-s)^{1/2-H} \frac{c(s)}{f(s)} ds, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.2)$$

where $r_H = (2 - 2H)\beta(3/2 - H, 3/2 - H)$. Then the following equation holds:

$$\int_0^t K_H^f(t, s) \rho_H(s) dw_s^H = \int_0^t c(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. Put $\alpha = H - 1/2$ and note that function

$$F(t) = t^{-\alpha} \frac{c(t)}{f(t)}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

fulfils assumptions of Theorem 2.2. Thus there exist the solution of (2.1) with F defined as above. Since by Proposition 2.1 function

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t F(x) (t-x)^{-\alpha} dx$$

is differentiable almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure and ρ_H is well defined. Fubini's Theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t K_H^f(t, s) \rho_H(s) dw_s^H &= H(2H-1) \int_0^t \int_s^t f(r) r^{H-1/2} (r-s)^{H-3/2} dr \rho_H(s) dw_s^H \\ &= H(2H-1) \int_0^t f(r) r^{H-1/2} \int_0^r \rho_H(s) (r-s)^{H-3/2} dw_s^H dr. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} &H(2H-1) \int_0^r \rho_H(s) (r-s)^{H-3/2} dw_s^H \\ &= B(H-1/2, 3/2-H) \int_0^r (r-s)^{H-3/2} \frac{d}{ds} \int_0^s u^{1/2-H} (s-u)^{1/2-H} \frac{c(u)}{f(u)} du ds \\ &= r^{1/2-H} \frac{c(r)}{f(r)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is our claim. \square

We are now able to prove Girsanov-type Theorem for Wiener integrals with respect to the fBm.

Theorem 4.4. *Let f, c fulfils assumptions of Lemma 4.3 and M^H be the fundamental martingale defined in (3.1). Assume that for ρ_H given by (4.2) we have that*

$$\int_0^T \rho_H^2(s) dw_s^H < +\infty. \quad (4.3)$$

If we define a measure Q by

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} = \exp \left(- \int_0^T \rho_H(s) dM_s^H - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \rho_H^2(s) dw_s^H \right),$$

then

- (i) *the process $\int_0^\cdot f(s) dB_s^H + \int_0^\cdot c(s) ds$ has the same finite dimensional distribution under Q as $\int_0^\cdot f(s) dB_s^H$ under P ,*
- (ii) *above Q is unique.*

Proof. (i) Applying Lemma 4.1 to M^H , we obtain that the distribution of the process $M^H + \int_0^\cdot \rho_H(s) dw_s^H$ under Q is the same as that of the process M^H under P . Hence, by Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 4.3, (i) holds.

(ii) Is easy to see

$$\int_0^t k(t, s) \frac{c(s)}{f(s)} ds = \int_0^t \rho_H(s) dw_s^H, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Thus, due to the (3.1), we obtain that there is equivalence between

- $\int_0^\cdot f(s) dB_s^H + \int_0^\cdot c(s) ds$ under Q has the same finite dimensional distribution as $\int_0^\cdot f(s) dB_s^H$ under P and $Q \sim P$,
- $M^H + \int_0^\cdot \rho_H(s) dw_s^H$ under Q has the same finite dimensional distribution as M^H under P and $Q \sim P$.

Since (3.2), (ii) follows from Remark 4.2. □

If we analyse conditions of Theorem 4.4, we see that condition (4.3) may cause some difficulties. Now we shall establish that in one particular, but important for us case this condition holds.

Remark 4.5. If f, c are absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ and for all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{c(t)}{f(t)} \right) \right| \leq C t^{2H-2},$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$, then (4.3) holds.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_H(t) &= r_H^{-1} t^{2H-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t s^{1/2-H} (t-s)^{1/2-H} \frac{c(s)}{f(s)} ds \\
&= r_H^{-1} t^{2H-1} \frac{d}{dt} \left(t^{2-2H} \int_0^1 u^{1/2-H} (1-u)^{1/2-H} \frac{c(ut)}{f(ut)} du \right) \\
&= r_H^{-1} \left((2-2H) \int_0^1 u^{1/2-H} (1-u)^{1/2-H} \frac{c(ut)}{f(ut)} du \right. \\
&\quad \left. + t \int_0^1 u^{1/2-H} (1-u)^{1/2-H} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{c(ut)}{f(ut)} \right) du \right),
\end{aligned}$$

by inequality $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2+b^2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \rho_H^2(s) dw_s^H \\
&\leq 2r_H^{-2} \lambda_H^{-1} \left[(2-2H)^2 \int_0^T t^{1-2H} \left(\int_0^1 u^{1/2-H} (1-u)^{1/2-H} \frac{c(ut)}{f(ut)} du \right)^2 dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^T t^{3-2H} \left(\int_0^1 u^{1/2-H} (1-u)^{1/2-H} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{c(ut)}{f(ut)} \right) du \right)^2 dt \right] \\
&= I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $I_1 \leq C_1 \int_0^T t^{1-2H} dt = (C_2/(2-2H))T^{2-2H}$, for some $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. To estimate I_2 note that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq 2r_H^{-2} \lambda_H^{-1} \int_0^T t^{3-2H} \left(\int_0^1 u^{1/2-H} (1-u)^{1/2-H} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{c(ut)}{f(ut)} \right) \right| du \right)^2 dt \\
&\leq 2r_H^{-2} \lambda_H^{-1} C^2 \int_0^T t^{3-2H} \left(\int_0^1 u^{1/2-H} (1-u)^{1/2-H} u^{2H-1} t^{2H-2} du \right)^2 dt \\
&= C_2 \int_0^T t^{2H-1} dt = (C_2/2H)T^{2H},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

5 Application to finance

We consider a continuous market model with two assets.

The riskless bond B , which dynamics are

$$B_t = \exp(rt), \quad (5.1)$$

where $r > 0$.

The risky stock denoted by S , with dynamics

$$dS_t = \mu(t)S_t dt + \sigma(t)S_t dB_t^H. \quad (5.2)$$

where $\sigma, \mu \in C^1[0, T]$ and $\sigma(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$. As usual we assume that there are no dividends, and no transaction costs.

By Ruzmaikina [7] Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4 the unique pathwise solution of (5.2) is given by

$$S_t = S_0 \exp \left(\int_0^t \mu(s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dB_s^H \right).$$

Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the filtration generated by B^H . Denote by F_T an option i.e. non-negative \mathcal{F}_T measurable random variable. In classical Black-Scholes model a *fair price* of option is the discounted expectation of F_T with respect to the *martingale measure* i.e. the measure Q such that $(S_t/B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is Q -martingale. In particular, the average growth of the stock in classical Black-Scholes model is the growth of the bond under Q :

$$E_Q(S_t/B_t) = S_0. \quad (5.3)$$

It was shown in Valkeila [9] that in fractional model martingale measure do not exist. However we can prove that, there exist unique measure Q (called in [9] *average risk neutral measure*) such that (5.3) holds. To do this we need two technical lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *If f is absolutely continuous function on $[0, T]$ and $H \in (1/2, 1)$, then $g : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by*

$$g(s) = 2f(s) \int_0^s f(r)(s-r)^{2H-2} dr, \quad s \in [0, T]$$

is also absolutely continuous and for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\int_0^t g(s) ds = \int_0^t \int_0^s f(s)f(r)|s-r|^{2H-2} dr ds.$$

Proof. Since f is absolutely continuous,

$$f(r) = f(0) + \int_0^r f'(u) du.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^s f(r)(s-r)^{2H-2} dr = \frac{f(0)}{2H-1} s^{2H-1} + \int_0^s \left(\int_0^r f'(u) du \right) (s-r)^{2H-2} dr. \quad (5.4)$$

Using Fubini's Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \left(\int_0^r f'(u) du \right) (s-r)^{2H-2} dr &= \int_0^s f'(u) \left(\int_u^s (s-r)^{2H-2} dr \right) du \\ &= \int_0^s f'(u) \left(\int_u^s (r-u)^{2H-2} dr \right) du \\ &= \int_0^s \int_0^r f'(u)(r-u)^{2H-2} du dr, \end{aligned}$$

whitch implies that g is absolutely continuous. Note that, using Fubini's Theorem again we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_0^t f(s) \left(\int_0^s f(r)(s-r)^{2H-2} dr \right) ds &= \int_0^t f(s) \left(\int_0^s f(r)(s-r)^{2H-2} dr \right) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t f(r) \left(\int_0^r f(s)(r-s)^{2H-2} ds \right) dr \\
&= \int_0^t f(s) \left(\int_0^s f(r)(s-r)^{2H-2} dr \right) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t f(s) \left(\int_s^t f(r)(r-s)^{2H-2} dr \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^t \int_0^t f(s)f(r)|s-r|^{2H-2} dr ds,
\end{aligned}$$

which is our claim. \square

Lemma 5.2. *If $u, f \in C^1([0, T])$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $H \in (1/2, 1)$, then there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $t \in (0, T)$*

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{h(t)}{f(t)} \right) \right| \leq M t^{2H-2},$$

where

$$h(t) = K + u(t) + f(t) \int_0^t f(r)(t-r)^{2H-2} dr, \quad K \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. Fix $t \in (0, T)$. By Lemma 5.1 function h is absolutely continuous, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{h(t)}{f(t)} \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{h(t)f'(t) - h'(t)f(t)}{f^2(t)} \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{h(t)f'(t)}{f^2(t)} \right| + \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{1}{|f(t)|} \right) |h'(t)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note, that

$$\begin{aligned}
h'(t) &= u'(t) + f'(t) \int_0^t f(r)(t-r)^{2H-2} dr + f(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t f(r)(t-r)^{2H-2} \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u'(t)| + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{f'(t)f(t)}{2H-1} \right| T^{2H-1} \\
&\quad + \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |f(t)| \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t f(r)(t-r)^{2H-2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since (5.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t f(r)(t-r)^{2H-2} \right) &= f(0)t^{2H-2} + \int_0^t f'(u)(t-u)^{2H-2} du \\ &\leq f(0)t^{2H-2} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{f'(t)}{2H-1} \right| T^{2H-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|h'(t)| \leq 3K_1 + |f(0)|t^{2H-2},$$

where $K_1 = \max(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u'(t)|, \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{f'(t)f(t)}{2H-1} \right| T^{2H-1})$.

Thus

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{h(t)}{f(t)} \right) \right| \leq K_2 + K_3 t^{2H-2} \leq \left(\frac{K_2}{T^{2H-2}} + K_3 \right) t^{2H-2},$$

where $K_2 = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{h(t)f'(t)}{f^2(t)} \right| + 3K_1 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{1}{f(t)} \right|$, $K_3 = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{1}{f(t)} \right| |f(0)|$. \square

Now we can prove existence and uniqueness of average risk neutral measure.

Theorem 5.3. *In the (B, S) -market given by (5.1) and (5.2) there exists the unique measure Q equivalent to the real-world measure P such that (5.3) holds.*

Proof. Note, that (5.3) holds if and only if the process

$$\int_0^t \sigma(s) dB_s^H + \int_0^t \mu(s) ds - rt + \frac{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_t^H}{2}, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

has the same finite dimensional distribution under Q as $\int_0^t \sigma(s) dB_s^H$ under P (assuming that Q is equivalent to P). Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \sigma(t), & t \in [0, T], \\ c(t) &= \mu(t) - r + H(2H-1)\sigma(t) \int_0^t \sigma(s)(t-s)^{2H-2} ds, & t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.1 we obtain that c is absolutely continuous and

$$\int_0^t c(s) ds = \int_0^t \mu(s) ds - rt + \frac{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_t^H}{2}.$$

Since, by Lemma 5.2 and Remark 4.5, we have that above c and f satisfies conditions of Theorem 4.4, the statement in the theorem holds. \square

Define the *fair price* $C(F_T)$ of option F_T by

$$C(F_T) = E_Q \frac{F_T}{B_T}.$$

Theorem 5.4. *If $F_T = F(S_T)$ i.e. the price depend only on value of S at time T , we have following formula for fair price:*

$$C(F_T) = e^{-rT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F \left(S_0 \exp \left(\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H} y + rT - \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H \right) \right) e^{y^2/2} dy.$$

Proof. Easily follows from fact that the process $\int_0^t \sigma(s)dB_s^H + \int_0^t \mu(s)ds - rt + \frac{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_t^H}{2}$ has the same law under Q as $\int_0^t \sigma(s)dB_s^H$ under P . \square

In particular we have following analogue of well known Black-Scholes formula.

Corollary 5.5. *Fair price of European call option $F(S_T) = (S_T - K)^+$ is given by*

$$C((S_T - K)^+) = S_0 \Phi(y_1) - Ke^{-rT} \Phi(y_2),$$

where

$$y_1 = \frac{\log \frac{S_0}{K} + rT + \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}{\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}}, \quad y_2 = \frac{\log \frac{S_0}{K} + rT - \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}{\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}}.$$

Proof. Using above Theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_T((S_T - K)^+) &= e^{-rT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(S_0 \exp \left(\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H} y + rT - \frac{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}{2} \right) - K \right)^+ e^{-y^2/2} dy \\ &= e^{-rT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y_0}^{+\infty} \left(S_0 \exp \left(\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H} y + rT - \frac{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}{2} \right) - K \right) e^{-y^2/2} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y_0 = \frac{\ln \frac{K}{S_0} - rT + \frac{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}{2}}{\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_T((S_T - K)^+) &= S_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y_0}^{+\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}{2} + y \sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H} - \frac{y^2}{2} \right) dy - e^{-rT} K(1 - \Phi(y_0)) \\ &= S_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y_0}^{+\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(y - \sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H} \right)^2}{2} \right) dy - e^{-rT} K(1 - \Phi(y_0)) \\ &= S_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y_0 - \sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}}^{+\infty} e^{-y^2/2} dy - e^{-rT} K(1 - \Phi(y_0)) \\ &= S_0 \left(1 - \Phi(y_0 - \sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H}) \right) - e^{-rT} K(1 - \Phi(y_0)) \\ &= S_0 \Phi \left(\sqrt{\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle_T^H} - y_0 \right) - e^{-rT} K(\Phi(-y_0)) \\ &= S_0 \Phi(y_1) - Ke^{-rT} \Phi(y_2), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] M.L.Kleptsyna, A. Le Breton, M.C. Roubaud, *Parameter Estimation and Optimal Filtering for Fractional Type Stochastic Systems*, Stat. Inf. for Stoch. Proc. **3**, 2000, 173-182.
- [2] J. Mémin, Y. Mishura, E. Valkeila, *Inequalities for the moments of Wiener integrals with respect to a fractional Brownian motion*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **70**, 2001, 197-206.
- [3] Y. Mishura, *Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Related Processes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [4] I. Norros, E. Valkeila J. Virtamo, *An elementary approach to a Girsanov formula and other analytical results on fractional Brownian motions*, Bernoulli **5**(4), 1999, 571-587.
- [5] V. Pipiras, V. Murad, S. Taqqu, *Are classes of deterministic integrands for fractional Brownian motion on an interval complete?*, Bernoulli **7**(6) 2001, 873-897.
- [6] V. Pipiras, V. Murad, S. Taqqu, *Integration questions related to fractional Brownian motion*, Prob. Th. and Rel. F. **118**, 2000, 251-291.
- [7] A. A. Ruzmaikina, *Stieltjes integrals of Hölder continuous functions with applications to fractional Brownian motion*, J. Statist. Phys. **100**, 2000, 1049-1069.
- [8] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Maričev, *Integraly i proizvodnye drobnogo porâdka i nekotorye ih prilozheniâ*, Minsk : Nauka i Tehnika, 1987.
- [9] T. Sottinen, E. Valkeila, *Fractional Brownian motion as a model in finance*, University of Helsinki Department of Math. Preprint 302, 2001
- [10] T. Sottinen, E. Valkeila, *On arbitrage and replication in the fractional Black-Scholes pricing model*, Statistics & Decision **21**, 2003, 137-151.