

Existence and positivity in CDO term structure models

Thorsten Schmidt

Technische Universität Chemnitz

www.tu-chemnitz.de/mathematik/fima
thorsten.schmidt@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

Motivation

In term structure models one often has two types of bonds p^1 and p^2 , both given by

$$p^i(t, T) = e^{-\int_t^T f^i(t, u) du}.$$

If bond 1 is default-free and bond 2 is defaultable, one expects

$$f^1(t, u) \leq f^2(t, u) \quad (1)$$

for all t, u .

Our aim is twofold, however in a much more general setting:

- When does absence of arbitrage imply (1)?
- What are sufficient conditions on f^1, f^2 for (1) to hold?

Motivation

In term structure models one often has two types of bonds p^1 and p^2 , both given by

$$p^i(t, T) = e^{-\int_t^T f^i(t, u) du}.$$

If bond 1 is default-free and bond 2 is defaultable, one expects

$$0 \leq f^1(t, u) \leq f^2(t, u) \quad (1)$$

for all t, u .

Our aim is twofold, however in a much more general setting:

- When does absence of arbitrage imply (1)?
- What are sufficient conditions on f^1, f^2 for (1) to hold?

Top-Down Models for portfolio credit risk

Essentials of securitization

- Consider a pool of m defaultable entities.
- Default i occurs at τ_i with associated loss q_i
- Cumulative loss

$$L_t = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq t\}}.$$

- Normalize the total nominal to 1, set $\mathcal{I} := [0, 1]$.
- Typical products can be described via the conditional distribution of L (after discounting): A security which pays $\mathbf{1}_{\{L_T \leq \eta\}}$ at T is called (T, η) -bond.
- Its price at time $t \leq T$ is denoted by $P(t, T, \eta)$.

We assume

$$P(t, T, \eta) = \mathbf{1}_{\{L_t \leq \eta\}} \exp \left(- \int_t^T f(t, u, \eta) du \right)$$

and that

$$df(t, T, \eta) = \alpha(t, T, \eta)dt + \sigma(t, T, \eta)dW_t + \int_E \gamma(t, T, \eta, x)(\mu(dt, dx) - F_t(dx)dt); \quad (2)$$

W is a possibly infinite-dimensional Brownian motion and μ is a integer-valued random measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times E$ with compensator $dt \otimes F_t(dx)$.

(A1) L is given by

$$L_t = \int_0^t \int_E \mathbf{1}_{\{L_{s-} + \ell_s(x) \leq 1\}} \ell_s(x) \mu(ds, dx),$$

where ℓ is a non-negative, predictable process such that for all $t \geq 0$ it holds that $\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{L_{s-} + \ell_s(x) \leq 1\}} \ell_s(x) F_s(dx) ds < \infty$ (finite activity).

Under (A1), L is a non-decreasing, pure-jump process with values in \mathcal{I} . Furthermore, the indicator process $(\mathbf{1}_{\{L_t \leq \eta\}})_{t \geq 0}$ is càdlàg and has intensity

$$\lambda(t, \eta) := F_t(\{x \in E : L_{t-} + \ell_t(x) > \eta\}); \quad (3)$$

that is,

$$M_t^\eta := \mathbf{1}_{\{L_t \leq \eta\}} + \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{L_s \leq \eta\}} \lambda(s, \eta) ds \quad (4)$$

is a martingale. Moreover, $\lambda(t, \eta)$ is decreasing in η with $\lambda(t, 1) = 0$.

This framework encompasses most of the existing portfolio credit risk models.

For example, if τ_1, \dots, τ_m are conditionally independent with intensities λ_i and the losses at t have distribution $F_{i,t}$, then

$$\lambda(t, \eta) = \sum_{\tau_i > t} \lambda_{i,t} \int_E \mathbf{1}_{\{L_{t-} + \ell(x) > \eta\}} F_{i,t-}(dx).$$

There are affine specifications and risk-minimizing hedging strategies have been derived. We proceed as follows:

- 1 Absence of arbitrage
- 2 Existence in an SPDE specification
- 3 Positivity and monotonicity

Absence of arbitrage

We call the measure \mathbb{Q} a martingale measure and write $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$, if

$$\left(e^{\int_0^t f(u, u, 1) du} P(t, T, \eta) \right)_{t \geq 0} \text{ are local martingales for all } (T, \eta). \quad (5)$$

Let $\Sigma^j(t, T, \eta) := \int_t^T \sigma^j(t, s, \eta) ds$ and $\Gamma(t, T, \eta, x) := \int_t^T \gamma(t, s, \eta, x) ds$.

Theorem

Assume that (A1)–(A5) hold. Then $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$, if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t, T, \eta) &= \sum_j \sigma^j(t, T, \eta) \Sigma^j(t, T, \eta) \\ &\quad - \int_E \gamma(t, T, \eta, x) \left(e^{-\Gamma(t, T, \eta, x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{L_{t-} + \ell_t(x) \leq \eta\}} - 1 \right) F_t(dx) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$r_t(0, \eta) = r_t + \lambda(t, \eta), \quad (7)$$

where (6) and (7) hold on $\{L_t \leq \eta\}$, $\mathbb{Q} \otimes dt$ -a.s.

Existence

For existence, we assume that $E = I \times G$, where $I = [0, 1]$ as previously and G is the mark space of a (homogeneous) Poisson random measure $\tilde{\mu}$.

Denote by μ^L the Poisson random measure associated to the jumps of L , such that

$$L_t = \int_0^t \int_I x \mu^L(ds, dx). \quad (8)$$

(A1') $L_t = \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta L_s$ is càdlàg, non-decreasing, adapted, pure jump process with values in I which admits an absolutely continuous compensator $\nu^L(t, dx)dt$ satisfying $\nu^L(t, \mathcal{I}) < \infty$. $\tilde{\mu}$ is a homogeneous Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times G$ with compensator $dt \otimes \tilde{F}(dx)$ and $\int_0^t \int_G F(dx)ds < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, $\tilde{\mu}$ and μ^L are independent.

We set $\mu = \mu^L \otimes \tilde{\mu}$.

We switch to the Musiela parametrization, such that

$$r(t, \xi, \eta) = f(t, t + \xi, \eta).$$

Moreover, we consider models where r is the mild solution of

$$dr_t = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} r_t + \alpha_t \right) dt + \sigma_t dW_t + \int_G \gamma_t(x) \tilde{\mu}(dt, dx) + \int_I \delta_t(x) \mu^L(dt, dx). \quad (9)$$

Corollary

Under (A1')-(A5') we have that $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$, if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t, T, \eta) &= \sum_j \sigma^j(t, T, \eta) \Sigma^j(t, T, \eta) \\ &\quad - \int_E \gamma(t, T, \eta, x) e^{-\Gamma(t, T, \eta, x)} \check{F}(dx) \\ &\quad - \int_I \mathbf{1}_{\{L_{t-} + x \leq \eta\}} \delta(t, T, \eta, x) e^{-\Delta(t, T, \eta, x)} \nu_t^L(dx), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$r_t(0, \eta) = r_t + \lambda(t, \eta), \quad (11)$$

where (6) and (7) hold on $\{L_t \leq \eta\}$, $\mathbb{Q} \otimes dt$ -a.s.

A martingale problem

(A6) $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, $\mathbb{Q}(d\omega) = \mathbb{Q}_1(d\omega_1)\mathbb{Q}_2(\omega_1, d\omega_2)$, where $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega$, and $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{G}_t \otimes \mathcal{H}_t$, where

- ① $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t), \mathbb{Q}_1)$ is some filtered probability space carrying the market information, in particular the Brownian motions $W^j(\omega) = W^j(\omega_1)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and the Poisson random measure $\tilde{\mu}(\omega) = \tilde{\mu}(\omega_1)$,
- ② (Ω_2, \mathcal{H}) is the canonical space of paths for I -valued increasing marked point processes endowed with the minimal filtration (\mathcal{H}_t) : the generic $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ is a càdlàg, increasing, piecewise constant function from \mathbb{R}_+ to I . Let

$$L_t(\omega) = \omega_2(t)$$

be the coordinate process. The filtration (\mathcal{H}_t) is therefore $\mathcal{H}_t = \sigma(L_s \mid s \leq t)$, and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\infty$,

- ③ \mathbb{Q}_2 is a probability kernel from (Ω_1, \mathcal{G}) to \mathcal{H} to be determined below.

- Under (A6), $\sigma_t(\omega) = \sigma_t(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ (and γ, δ) are functions of the loss path ω_2 .
- The evolution equation (9) can thus be solved on the stochastic basis $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t), \mathbb{Q}_1)$ along any genuine loss path $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$.

Regarding condition (11), note that

$$\nu^L(t, (0, \eta]) = \lambda(t, L_t) - \lambda(t, L_t + \eta), \quad \eta \in I, \quad (12)$$

where $\lambda(t, x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1$. Then (11) is equivalent to

$$\nu^L(\omega; t, dx) = -r_t(\omega; 0, \omega_2(t) + dx), \quad (13)$$

(with $r_t(0, \eta) \equiv r_t$ for $\eta \geq 1$) Hence, *unless δ is zero*,

$$\alpha_t(\xi, \eta) = \alpha_t(\xi, \eta, r_t)$$

becomes an explicit linear functional of the (short end of the) prevailing spread curve.

Theorem

Assume (A6) holds. Let r_0 , σ_t , $\gamma_t(x)$ and $\delta_t(x)$ satisfy (A2), (A4) and (A5'), respectively. Define $\nu^L(t, dx)$ by (13) and α_t by (10) for all (t, T, x) . Suppose, for any loss path $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$, there exists a solution $r_t(\xi, \eta)$ of (9) such that $r_t(0, \eta)$ is progressive, decreasing and càdlàg in $\eta \in I$. Then

- 1 (A3) is satisfied.
- 2 There exists a unique probability kernel \mathbb{Q}_2 from (Ω_1, \mathcal{G}) to \mathcal{H} , such that the loss process $L_t(\omega) = \omega_2(t)$ satisfies (A1') and the no-arbitrage condition (5) holds.

A SPDE formulation

We consider the SPDE

$$dr_t = \left(\frac{d}{d\xi} r_t + \alpha(r_t) \right) dt + \sigma(r_t) dW_t + \int_G \gamma(r_{t-}, x) \tilde{\mu}(dt, dx) + \int_I \delta(r_{t-}, x) \mu^L(dt, dx). \quad (14)$$

with vector fields $\sigma : H \rightarrow H$, $\gamma : H \times G \rightarrow H$ and $\delta : H \times I \rightarrow H$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\omega_2, t, h)(\xi, \eta) &= \sum_j \sigma^j(h)(\xi, \eta) \Sigma^j(\xi, \eta) \\ &\quad - \int_E \gamma(h, x)(\xi, \eta) e^{-\Gamma(h, x)(\xi, \eta)} \tilde{F}(dx) \\ &\quad - \int_I \mathbf{1}_{\{\omega_2(t-) + x \leq \eta\}} \delta(h, x)(\xi, \eta) e^{-\Delta(h, x)(\xi, \eta)} h(0, \omega_2(t) + dx). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Suppose that (A6) holds and that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(h_1) - \sigma(h_2)\|_{L^0_2(H)} &\leq K\|h_1 - h_2\|, \\ \left(\int_E \|\gamma(h_1, x) - \gamma(h_2, x)\|^2 \tilde{F}(dx) \right)^{1/2} &\leq K\|h_1 - h_2\|, \\ \int_0^t \int_I \|\delta(h_1, x) - \delta(h_2, x)\| \mu^{\omega_2}(ds, dx) &\leq K(\omega_2, t)\|h_1 - h_2\|, \\ \|\alpha(\omega_2, t, h_1) - \alpha(\omega_2, t, h_2)\| &\leq K(\omega_2, t)\|h_1 - h_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ there is a unique solution $r(\cdot, \omega_2) : \Omega_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow H$ for

$$\begin{aligned} dr_t(\cdot, \omega_2) &= \left(\frac{d}{d\xi} r_t(\cdot, \omega_2) + \alpha(r_t(\cdot, \omega_2)) \right) dt + \sigma(r_t(\cdot, \omega_2)) dW_t \\ &\quad + \int_G \gamma(r_{t-}(\cdot, \omega_2), x) \tilde{\mu}(dt, dx) + \int_I \delta(r_{t-}(\cdot, \omega_2), x) \mu^{\omega_2}(dt, dx) \end{aligned}$$

on the probability space $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Q}_1)$.

Positivity and Monotonicity

Consider the closed, convex cone

$$C = \bigcap_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+} \bigcap_{\substack{\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{I} \\ \eta_1 \leq \eta_2}} \{h \in H : h(\xi, \eta_1) \geq h(\xi, \eta_2)\} \cap \bigcap_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+} \{h \in H : h(\xi, 1) \geq 0\}.$$

Definition

The CDO model (14) is called *positive and monotone* if for all $h_0 \in C$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(r_t \in C) = 1, \quad t \geq 0$$

where $(r_t)_{t \geq 0}$ denotes the mild solution for (14) with $r_0 = h_0$.

Theorem

Assume that

$$h + \gamma(h, x) + \delta(h, y) \in C$$

for all $h \in C$, all $t \geq 0$ and $F \otimes \nu^L(t, \cdot)$ -almost all $(x, y) \in G \times I$. Moreover,

$$\sigma^j(h)(\xi, 1) = 0,$$

$$\alpha(h)(\xi, 1) \geq 0$$

for all $\xi \in (0, \infty)$ and all $h \in H$ with $h(\xi) = 0$, as well as

$$\sigma^j(h)(\xi, \eta_1) = \sigma^j(h)(\xi, \eta_2)$$

$$\alpha(h)(\xi, \eta_1) \geq \alpha(h)(\xi, \eta_2)$$

for all $\xi \in (0, \infty)$, all $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$ and all $h \in H$ with $h(\xi, \eta_1) = h(\xi, \eta_2)$. Then the CDO model is positive and monotone.

Theorem

Assume that

$$h + \gamma(h, x) + \delta(h, y) \in C$$

for all $h \in C$, all $t \geq 0$ and $F \otimes \nu^L(t, \cdot)$ -almost all $(x, y) \in G \times I$. Moreover,

$$\sigma^j(h)(\xi, 1) = 0,$$

$$\alpha(h)(\xi, 1) \geq 0$$

for all $\xi \in (0, \infty)$ and all $h \in H$ with $h(\xi) = 0$, as well as

$$\sigma^j(h)(\xi, \eta_1) = \sigma^j(h)(\xi, \eta_2)$$

$$\alpha(h)(\xi, \eta_1) \geq \alpha(h)(\xi, \eta_2)$$

for all $\xi \in (0, \infty)$, all $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$ and all $h \in H$ with $h(\xi, \eta_1) = h(\xi, \eta_2)$. Then the CDO model is positive and monotone.

Thank you for your attention!

- [1] D Filipović, L Overbeck, T Schmidt: Dynamic CDO term structure modelling (2009). Forthcoming in Mathematical Finance.
- [2] D Filipovic, S Tappe, J Teichmann: Term Structure Models Driven by Wiener Process and Poisson Measures: Existence and Positivity (2009)
- [3] T Schmidt, J Zabczyk: CDO term structure modelling with Lévy processes and the relation to market models (2010). arXiv:1007.1706
- [4] T Schmidt, S Tappe: General CDO term structure modelling: existence and monotonicity (2010), working paper.